

# LEARN

## LEARN

Is there additional information or existing conditions that should be considered as part of the planning process?

- inadequate storm water management
- Agricultural events not compatible with Quann Landfill
- Quann park amenities dependent on Q/O Expo Drive
- hotels on the outskirts of the site
- traffic control on Olive Ave (residential)
- regional context to UW Madison
- connect between AEC and the 3 hotels
- need for pedestrian crosswalk
- transit access/routes
- bicycle routes on South

# LEARN

## LEARN

Are there additional things that have not been considered as part of the planning process?

- consideration of neighborhoods surrounding
- expansion of Rusk Ave
- lake loop and regional bike trails
- increased traffic on residential stretches of Olin street
- Olin/Park street intersection
- continuous North-South and East-West access corridors
- alternate means of parking revenue

# LEARN

## LEARN

Please provide any additional comments.

- solve storm water problems
- AEC is mismanaged
- poor access to AEC from surrounding areas, restricted access during events
- paid parking for structures when exiting
- current profitability concerns
- concerns over residential traffic on Olin
- diagram line weights unclear
- show connection to downtown and UW campus
- site analysis needs to include storm water management
- improve inter- and intra-transit in county
- roadways to accommodate buses and bikes

# SHARE

## SHARE

What do you like most about each of the concepts? What do you like least?

couldn't tell much of a difference without explanation  
both are about the same and can be adjusted to needs

MOST:

- ample green space/park space (4)
- address/alleviate traffic flow (4), emphasis on ring road
- more mixed use opportunity
- additional parking

LEAST:

- too similar schemes
- surface parking too remote/extensive (3)
- impact on adjoining neighborhood (2)
- unneeded road expansion (2)
- traffic management
- noise mitigation wall (2)

# SHARE

## SHARE

Of the identified projects/improvements on each of the concepts, what should be prioritized?

traffic flow (2)

ease of access/egress (2)

inter- and intra- transit (2)

stormwater management (2)

walkable/pedestrian friendly campus (2)

green space vs impervious space (2)

mixed use (2)

expand Coliseum only/appropriate usage of expo space

equity/community oriented

# SHARE

## SHARE

Are there any additional ideas that should be considered for the concepts?

- leave Quann Park alone
- stormwater management that is not dependent on the development plan
- more walkable campus with retail shops geared to the community
- commercial use of potential stores with hotel at the corner of Rimrock and John Nolan
- pedestrian bridge/crosswalk over John Nolan (2)
- expand Lyckberg retention pond
- move noise mitigation wall to road
- expand/beautify Rusk Ave from John Nolan Drive down to Park street
- biking access to AEC campus from South
- more density in development
- green spaces within parking lots
- access to local and regional transit systems
- change all/most parking to multilevel

# SHARE

## SHARE

Please provide any additional comments.

- this makes me want to move
- this is the third time where my input was requested and apparently none of our neighborhood comments were considered in the options
- looks like giant parking lot with office/meet/exhibition/hotel
- can't imagine anyone wanting to live near this campus
- noise barrier will not be effective up the hill and would be visual/physical barrier for Capital View neighbors (3)
- Option A parking structure is too far away
- Too much surface parking
- proposed "interchange" with Rimrock as an entrance will have patrons coming off the beltline conflicting with the traffic from downtown
- parking garage with 1 level underground with green space on top or existing structure (2)
- parking structure next to exhibition hall allows dressed up patrons to get closer to the ballroom avoids "school parking lot" look as though patrons are going to a dance in a gym.
- Quann-Olin Parkway proposed arc comes too close to homes, reroute to go straight north to west of Pavilion Two (3)
- restaurants attached to coliseum should have exterior entrances as well
- local and regional bus stops
- electronic gates from Quann-Olin Parkway into the inner area so residents can access park amenities
- topography makes artist rendering on map unrealistic
- street frontage (RR and JND) and parking should all go private
- increase hotel, restaurant, and retail density
- private development for city residents (and AEC) out front and public AEC parking and decks out back
- use all street frontages (RR and JND) for retail/hotel/restaurant mixed use
- Will an EIS be completed on the planning process or recommended plan?
- improve transit access (4)

# IMAGINE

## IMAGINE

Based on the input provided to this point in the planning process, what should the top three priorities be for the planning project?

- pedestrian focus
- parking efficiency (3)
- better access/connection to community (2)
- consideration of public feedback
- mixed use/public center (2)
- budget (2)
- only expand what needs expanded (3)
- improved seating in Coliseum
- transit connectivity (3)
- local business/neighborhood emphasis (2)
- bicycle access
- traffic flow (2)
- stormwater (2)
- noise



# I M A G I N E

## IMAGINE

Are there additional ideas for the AEC Campus that should be considered?

- more multilevel parking
- environmental learning center
- shuttle bus transport
- more disabled parking
- consider nonprofits - use of meeting rooms/spaces
- marketing arrangement with Manona Terrace and related city venues
- edible landscaping
- community gardens
- animal shelter
- alternative energy to power additional structures
- vertical green spaces
- more stormwater retention areas
- more retail spaces
- trolley station

# I M A G I N E

## IMAGINE

Please provide any additional comments.

- think BIG! This could be soldier field in Chicago
- hire a building contractor who has much experience in this type of project
- major concerns about flooding/storm water management (4)
- reduce scope of grand plans
- major concern about increase traffic
- work with Madison on public transportation (2)
- use existing rail corridor with light-rail
- concern for tangible community benefits/equitable economic development